

# Legislative Council Staff

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado's Legislature

# **Final Fiscal Note**

Drafting Number:LLS 21-0396Date:August 23, 2021Prime Sponsors:Rep. Neville; SnyderBill Status:Postponed IndefinitelyFiscal Analyst:David Hansen | 303-866-2633

David.Hansen@state.co.us

Bill Topic:	ic: ALLOW RETAILERS TO ABSORB SALES OR USE TAX	
Summary of Fiscal Impact:	<ul><li>☐ State Revenue</li><li>☑ State Expenditure</li><li>☐ State Transfer</li></ul>	<ul><li>□ TABOR Refund</li><li>⋈ Local Government</li><li>□ Statutory Public Entity</li></ul>
	The bill would have allowed retailers to advertise that they would absorb or pay sales or use tax on taxable purchases of goods and services. The bill would have minimally increased workload for state and local government.	
Appropriation Summary:	No appropriation was required.	
Fiscal Note Status:	This fiscal note reflects the introduced bill. This bill was not enacted into law; therefore, the impacts identified in this analysis do not take effect.	

## **Summary of Legislation**

Current law makes it illegal for a retailer to assume or absorb sales or use tax in the price of goods and services. The bill would have removed this restriction and allowed retailers to advertise that they will assume, absorb, or refund the tax. In instances where retailers would have assumed or absorbed sales or use tax, retailers would be required to separately state the purchase price and amount of tax to the consumer or user. Additionally, retailers would have been required to remit the full amount of tax to the Department of Revenue. The bill would have applied to filing periods beginning on or after January 1, 2022.

# **Background and Assumptions**

Retailers are required to collect sales and use taxes on behalf of state and local governments and periodically remit this revenue. Retailers are allowed to retain a vendor fee for their cost in collecting and remitting sales and use tax to state and local governments, and must maintain records necessary to determine the correct amount of tax. There are instances in Colorado where tax can be included in the sales price, such as alcohol sold by the drink and vending machine sales. The fiscal note assumes that shifting the imposition of sales and use tax from buyers to retailers who absorb the tax will not affect the amount of tax owed or collected. It is also assumed that absorption of sales and use tax will not affect the amount of vendor fee retained by retailers.

## **Comparable Crime Analysis**

Legislative Council Staff is required to include certain information in the fiscal note for any bill that creates a new crime, changes the classification of an existing crime, or changes an element of an existing crime. This section outlines data on crimes comparable to the offense in this bill and discusses assumptions on future rates of criminal conviction for those offenses.

**Prior conviction data and assumptions.** The bill would have removed the existing offense for a retailer to unlawfully advertise or state that they will assume or absorb sales tax for a consumer. From FY 2017-18 to FY 2019-20, zero offenders were sentenced and convicted for this offense; therefore, the fiscal note assumes that there will continue to be minimal or no additional criminal case filings or convictions for this offense under the bill. Because the bill was not expected to have a tangible impact on criminal justice-related revenue or expenditures at the state or local levels, these potential impacts are not discussed further in this fiscal note.

## **State Expenditures**

The bill was expected to minimally increase workload for the Department of Revenue to enforce compliance, conduct investigations, and administer retailer absorption of sales and use tax. This fiscal note assumes that workload impacts would have been absorbed as part of the normal operations of administering sales and use taxes.

#### **Local Government**

Home-rule jurisdictions in Colorado would have also been able to allow a retailer to absorb or assume local sales and use tax. These jurisdictions may have had increased workload as described above.

#### **Technical Note**

While the bill required the price of the goods and the tax amount to be listed separately on a receipt, it did not require that the receipt state who paid the tax. Thus, in certain situations when a retailer may have absorbed or paid sales or use tax on behalf of a consumer, there may have been ambiguity later over who owed the tax if it was not properly remitted. While it did not technically affect the amount of tax owed, it might have hampered the ability of the Department of Revenue to collect unpaid tax or verify refunds.

#### **Effective Date**

The bill was postponed indefinitely by the House Finance Committee on May 17, 2021.

Page 3 August 23, 2021 HB 21-1163

### **State and Local Government Contacts**

Counties Information Technology Judicial-Criminal

Municipalities Personnel Regional Transportation District

Revenue Special Districts